

5 16 Merton Sandler

6

8 *The place of chemical pathology in psychopharmacology*

9

10 *Why did you go into psychopharmacology?*

11 I didn't even realize I was a psychopharmacologist until many years after I
12 had become one. It's strange but true. I started among the monoamines
13 long, long ago and by chance. The chance was that David Hay, now Sir
14 David, Alan Goble and I were on the house together at the Brompton in
15 1954. I was doing a short-term research job after a house job there, mostly
16 involving paper chromatography. David and Alan moved to the National
17 Heart Hospital and there saw one of the first cases of carcinoid to be
18 diagnosed in the British Isles; they phoned me at the Brompton and said
19 they needed a bit of biochemical assistance.

20 So we set about investigating this poor lady, almost draining her of
21 blood. We tried all sorts of bizarre things like doing platelet stickiness
22 tests, borrowing a special machine from Helen Payling-Wright (who died
23 only recently). Principally, we measured 5-HT in body fluids, compart-
24 ments and blood cells and surprisingly interesting data emerged from this
25 one patient. The main finding was that there was a higher concentration
26 of 5-HT in the right side of the heart, as you would expect from the
27 massive liver secondaries, than on the left. Putting two and two together,
28 we speculated that maybe that was the reason why such patients developed
29 right-sided heart disease. It seems so obvious now but it wasn't then.

30 So, there I was with an interest in monoamines, when suddenly Michael
31 Pare who was my chum from the Army got a job at the Maudsley; it just
32 seemed that everything at that time, in depression and schizophrenia in
33 particular, had a monoamine dimension – you remember the pink spot . . .

34 *This was when?*

35 Our Army service was 1951–53. Michael Pare was the medical specialist
36 at Shorncliffe and I, having done one year in pathology before I went
37 into the Army went in as a specialist in pathology knowing virtually no

1

827 Based on an interview previously published in Sandler, M and Healy, D. (1994) The place of
828 chemical pathology in the development of psychopharmacology. *Journal of Psychopharma-*
829 *cology*, 8, 124–33. Reproduced with permission from the *Journal of Psychopharmacology*.

38 pathology – can you imagine it? I was given a path lab and 15 technicians
39 and almost nothing to do; well there were about 15 investigations a day
40 including haemoglobins o I really was bored out of my mind. Anyway
41 Mike and I became friends. We had very many wild ideas – we were
42 both terribly untrained in research methodology and made many mistakes.
43 We started off and wrote four papers, doing heroic things like starving
44 for three days and trying to work out a new liver function test. We kept
45 our urine and found odd chromatographic spots in it – nothing at all to
46 do with liver function but somehow connected to starvation. That was
47 our very first paper, called ‘Starvation Aminoaciduria’. And then there
48 was a lot of marching backwards and forwards for the poor bloody infantry
49 over 50-mile routes so cases of ‘March Haemoglobinuria’ came our way.
50 Soon we wrote a second *Lancet* paper ‘Aminoaciduria in March Haemo-
51 globinuria’. We started off in style I suppose.

52 As I said, when I came out of the Army and got a job in the Brompton,
53 Mike went to the Maudsley and found that schizophrenia and pink spot
54 were all the rage. Gaddum had pronounced in 1953 that maybe it is the
55 5-HT in our brain that keeps us sane and that became our signpost in
56 the sky. So, I had the chromatographic techniques for measuring 5-HT
57 and its metabolites. I didn’t develop an interest in catecholamines until
58 about 1957. I used to be and still am, I suppose, a voracious reader of the
59 literature. I would work through, for instance, the Spring Edition of
60 Federation Proceedings, with its several thousand abstracts. It was like
61 telling beads, soothing and a bit mindless. And it was there that I spotted
62 that very first abstract of Marvin Armstrong describing how adrenaline is
63 broken down to VMA – its fate had been a complete mystery up till that
64 time.

65 Very quickly Colin Ruthven and I jumped in and developed the first
66 quantitative colorimetric test to measure VMA in urine. There was a
67 postal strike at the time and I delivered our paper by hand to the *Lancet*.
68 The *Lancet* was really quaint in those days. Very Dickensian. High desks
69 and men standing up and writing at them. You expected to see a quill
70 pen. But that’s by the way. They published it within a few weeks, so that
71 was a coup really.

72 Phenylketonuria had also come along by then – wherever 5-HT popped
73 up Michael Pare and I chased it. I’m trying to remember the sequence
74 of events. I wrote my very first paper on monoamine oxidase in 1956
75 with Alan Davison – that was monoamine oxidase in carcinoid tumour
76 tissue. I had become a sort of one-man carcinoid reference laboratory at
77 that time. With Alan Davison I’d been looking at inhibitors of aromatic
78 aminoacid decarboxylase and found that phenolic acids of various kinds
79 to a greater or lesser extent decreased 5-HT production by inhibiting 5-
80 HTP decarboxylase; and of course, a clinical condition which produced
81 vast amounts of a range of phenolic acids in the body was PKU. So we
82 approached Sam Stacey, Professor of Pharmacology at St Thomas’, who

83 had a good *in vivo* assay system for platelet 5-HT. The speculation came
84 off. Because of the overproduction of whatever it was, there was a deficit
85 of 5-HT in platelets and, of course, we suggested that there might be a
86 similar deficit in the brain, which might be the cause of the mental deficit.
87 But, anybody can speculate. That's what I've done mostly over the years
88 – it's been my favourite occupation.

89 In order, then, to test Gaddum's 5-HT hypothesis that I mentioned
90 before, we got a series of volunteers – Maudsley registrars – and gave
91 them LSD because of its effects on 5-HT. On another occasion, we
92 gave them 5-HTP, the 5-HT precursor, together with LSD. We worked
93 with a German psychologist called Brengelmann, who actually had fought
94 against Britain in the War – this was only a few years after the War. I
95 always felt very uneasy in my relationships with Brengelmann but he had
96 a set of measuring instruments and questionnaires for quantifying the
97 changes with LSD which were the best available at the time. And indeed,
98 there was a significant attenuation of the LSD effect after pretreatment
99 with 5-HTP. But the fifth or sixth Maudsley registrar we dealt with had
100 a bad trip on LSD. He had to be sat on by six male nurses and he didn't
101 recover fully for a few months. This put the fear of God into us. We
102 wrote off to our Medical Defence people but we were very lucky that
103 nothing permanent happened. Those were the days before Ethical Com-
104 mittees. If you thought up an experiment, you just did it and nobody
105 asked any questions. You used your own common sense.

106 So that was my first toe in the psychopharmacological water. Because
107 of our PKU experiments, we got a bit of drug company assistance, I can't
108 quite remember how, but I think it was probably through Mike being a
109 clinician. It's always been more difficult for those of us in the lab to get
110 money from drug companies than for chaps who actually give drugs to
111 patients. I think Mike had contacts with John Marks, from Roche Prod-
112 ucts. A splendid fellow and a good doctor. Don't know how he got into
113 drug companies. They were pretty down market in those days. He ended
114 up as Senior Tutor at Girton, having been Managing Director of Roche
115 Products. Anyway, John Marks sent Mike and me to Rome, to the very
116 first CINP meeting in 1957. I'd never heard of the CINP. I didn't
117 even know what the initials stood for when I went to the meeting.
118 Neuropsychopharmacology or whatever they called it hadn't reached my
119 consciousness as a possible discipline – it made no impression at all.

120 *Was that in 1958 – when the Pope gave a talk?*

121 Yes the Pope gave a talk and if you say so it was 1958. We all heard the
122 Pope and he died 12 days after. I thought this was what always happens
123 at international conferences. The Pope gives a talk, he dies – not that that
124 counts. Yes, we were all bussed out to Castelgandolfo and Pope Pius XII
125 made some significant pronouncement in Latin, it may have been broken
126 English – can't remember.

127 *Who was there from the labs, how many clinically, how many from the industry?*

128 I can only think of outstanding personalities that I met there for the first
129 time. Hannah Steinberg was there, perpetually drinking coffee with Philip
130 Bradley and Arthur Summerfield. I remember very distinctly, they seemed
131 so senior and grown up with strong opinions about everything. You always
132 admire these grown up people. I still do.

133 *Michael Shepherd was there.*

134 Michael Shepherd was there, very much so. Aubrey Lewis was too but I
135 didn't get to know him. He was developing Parkinson's disease and had
136 a bit of a fixed stare. He looked a bit like Rasputin. I subsequently used
137 to see him walking on the river bank at Richmond, with his wife, and
138 tried to acknowledge him but he never knew who I was. Different from
139 Sir Hans Krebs, whom I always used to see at the Biochemical Society
140 and he'd call me Sandler in his precise Teutonic manner. The last time I
141 saw him was at a meeting on aggression in Windsor Great Park. I knew
142 I had arrived because, for the first time Krebs called me Merton! Then
143 he died twelve days later. I seem to have this effect on people.

144 Really, I rode in to Rome as it were on the back of Michael Pare. We
145 had our first drug company dinner. God, was it an eye opener. In the
146 villa of Mussolini's mistress, Clara-somebody-or-other – was it Petacci? It
147 was splendid. Roche had really pushed the boat out. This taste of the
148 *dolce vita* and the faint whiff of corruption was the thing I remember most
149 about that Rome meeting. There were some nice buildings around too.

150 *Why do you say an eye opener?*

151 I'm a little provincial Jewish boy from Manchester, of immigrant stock. I
152 was the first one in our family to go to University. There was no question
153 of Oxford or Cambridge or anything like that because there wouldn't be
154 kosher food and there would be nonJewish girls. So I went to Manchester
155 medical school and lived at home. I led a narrow and cloistered existence.
156 It was the Army really that opened my eyes to life outside provincial
157 Jewish Manchester. Does this explain this eye opener stuff?

158 *Yes. On what areas did the first CINP meetings focus?*

159 I can't remember the topics of the symposia at all. Probably over my
160 head. I remember giving my own paper. It went all right, not too many
161 questions.

162 *Who do you recall as being the key people? Who made psychopharmacology . . .?*

163 Joel Elkes was very much there. Very smooth, very much an operator.
164 Thought of himself as a philosopher and he gave this appearance of being
165 an elder statesman even though he was quite young. He was a figure that
166 I remember. I never got to know him properly until, I suppose, 10 years

167 after that. He never replies to my letters. It's a great character defect. Or
168 perhaps I keep forgetting to put on a stamp . . .

169 I think I met Seymour Kety first in 1961 when I first went to the
170 United States of America. Seymour, at that time chief of the lab of clinical
171 science at NIH, gave me lunch and had all his disciples around him –
172 what a galaxy they were. Julie Axelrod, Irv Kopin, Joe Schildkraut, Sol
173 Snyder, Dick Wurtman, Joe Fisher, all now famous names in their own
174 right or even Nobel laureates or Nobel candidates. It was funny because
175 sitting round the table, there were 11 or 12 of us and we were all Jewish.
176 I don't know what attraction psychopharmacology or neuroscience has
177 for this group of chaps. Even out of the 10 Presidents to date of the BAP,
178 I calculate that 5 have been Jewish which is a much higher proportion
179 than their representation in the country. I've no idea why. Have you any
180 speculation?

181 *No. Of all of them who has had the most impact?*

182 Well, I think there are two kinds of bright chap around. There are the
183 mathematical or analytical chaps who go deep into one thing but almost
184 invariably lack creativity and the other is the sort of not so mathematically
185 bright individual who sees connections between things. I suppose I think
186 of myself as a hanger-on in the second group.

187 Now Sol Snyder, even though he's been wrong many times, I'd put
188 almost at the top. He probably combines the best of both groups. He's
189 the exception. Then there's Julie Axelrod, slower thinking I would say
190 but he just gets there, strips down concepts and sees through to the heart
191 of them, sees what is real and what is mythology. I think this is a Jewish
192 trick, as a matter of fact, this ability to see through to the reality, but I
193 may be wrong.

194 *What about Brodie?*

195 Brodie, who was born in Liverpool incidentally and who many consider
196 to have been the father of biochemical pharmacology, was a distant relation
197 of mine as a matter of fact. He was a crazy man. He used to take uppers
198 and downers all the time. He used to take amphetamines in the day
199 time and barbiturates at night to make him sleep. He worked frenetically
200 with the amphetamines – he would carry on until 2 am, 3 am in the
201 morning and get his co-workers along to the lab at that time – it was
202 nothing for Brodie to ring people up at 12 o'clock at night but he never
203 got in until late morning or early afternoon. One way and another, I saw
204 a lot of him but I never got on the same wavelength. Axelrod, in fact,
205 was for many years Brodie's technician and he treated him like it. Axelrod
206 is a sweet man.

207 I met Axelrod at that same seminal CINP meeting in 1958. How could
208 I have forgotten this, when you asked me who struck me most. Well, I
209 got on this bus back from Castelgandolfo or one of the outings and I sat

210 next to a rather shabby and self-effacing man, wearing a sort of flasher's
 211 mac even though the sun was beating down. One of his eyes was covered
 212 over. We started to chat about our work. I was cocky. The PKU work
 213 and 5-HTP work was going rather well. And he said 'Oh, I'm working
 214 on adrenaline metabolism and it's not going well at all'. I thought to
 215 myself that if ever anyone was cut out for failure, then this little guy was.
 216 He seemed to have nothing going for him. Twelve years later of course
 217 he won the Nobel prize.

218 I thought the same the first time I heard Hans Kosterlitz at the Physi-
 219 ology Society, probably some time late in the 1950s. Kosterlitz used to
 220 give what seemed to me terribly boring papers on the action of morphine
 221 on the gut. But this was the springboard for his discovery of endogenous
 222 opiates. I'm always wrong about these things – mixing up personality
 223 with talent. Axelrod was still Brodie's technician when I met him. He
 224 eventually got his PhD at the age of 45 and after that he gradually untied
 225 the shackles. Some say that Axelrod was responsible for many of Brodie's
 226 key experiments. It's difficult to say. I'm sure Axelrod himself would make
 227 no such claims because he's so decent and modest.

228 *Some people say all the good work in Brodie's lab got done when he was on*
 229 *holiday.*

230 Well, that may be. Brodie did have many flashes of insight and was a
 231 flawed genius I would say. You can't knock him completely. There was
 232 a lot that was good about him, but he did tend to exploit people and
 233 pick the young one's brains. Perhaps we all do.

234 Seymour Kety now is a very different kettle of fish. Very charming and
 235 diplomatic and formidably influential. He was also very brilliant. Perhaps
 236 the KetyoSchmidt approach to bloodflow measurement in the brain,
 237 which is where he made his scientific name, wasn't a big enough problem,
 238 as far as Nobel prizes were concerned. Given the right problem I'm sure
 239 he would have won one. He's still working at NIH, even though he's
 240 over 80.

241 *Do you think in the end Kety's role was more an organizational one . . . ?*

242 His main achievement possibly was as the brilliant head of the Laboratory
 243 of Clinical Science in its heyday although you must remember he was
 244 deeply involved in the Danish Schizophrenia project and that was very
 245 important stuff too and he was the founding editor of the prestigious and
 246 influential *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. I always swore I would never edit
 247 a journal because it was a mug's game but after \ 'be of an hour of
 248 Seymour's blandishments across the transoAtlantic telephone, I was talked
 249 into being his successor. Thank God I've just managed to pass it along after
 250 10 years. Joe Schildkraut was my co-Editor-in-Chief. Joe had published his
 251 monoamine hypothesis of depression to a fanfare of trumpets, in 1965,

252 but this theory had been foreshadowed by work Mike Pare and I had
253 done in 1958.

254 *Yes. Now tell me about that. I've always wondered about it. You seemed to have*
255 *the amine theory all worked out at that point – at least implicitly?*

256 Well, Mike Pare and I were the first to give 5-HTP and DOPA intra-
257 venously anywhere to anybody. We used them to try to cut down the lag
258 period of response to MAO inhibitors in depressive illness. We did a trial
259 of iproniazid because it was bright and spanking new, you see, and we
260 reasoned that if it was just blocking monoamine oxidase, the action must
261 be because of the excess amines that were produced. The only ones we
262 knew of, of course, were noradrenaline and 5-HT. So, we got hold of
263 some of the precursors because we knew that the neurotransmitters
264 wouldn't cross the blood – brain barrier and we treated depressed patients
265 with them. During the lag period, the 2–3 weeks until the MAO inhibi-
266 tors started to work, we gave them 5-HTP or DOPA intravenously to
267 see if we could shorten the time before response occurred. It didn't work.
268 In retrospect we didn't use enough. Thank goodness because we would
269 have probably sent their blood pressure over the top.

270 We published our clinical trial of iproniazid in depression. Our amine
271 ideas, disguised under the title of 'A trial of iproniazid in the treatment
272 of depression' languished but Joe's got the full PR treatment and prospered

273 *I've always thought it all comes down to good PR, what do you think?*

274 Yes, yes. Joe's paper is one of the most quoted papers in the world now.
275 Ah well, you win some, you lose some.

276 *Do you want to comment more on the role of PR in the whole thing, because it*
277 *does seem to me that people who coined the snappy phrases Type I, Type II . . .*
278 *who market their ideas, get places where others don't – even if they're wrong.*

279 You are absolutely right. I agree with you all the way. The Americans
280 have lived in a marketing climate for longer but we seem to be getting
281 used to it now. We no longer have to talk ourselves down to the same
282 extent and British understatement still needs to be banished. Nate Kline,
283 perhaps the most prominent American psychiatrist of his day, called a
284 press conference even before he gave that first paper to the American
285 Psychiatric Association on iproniazid in the treatment of depressive illness.

286 Nate Kline, who died in 1982, was a great romantic. He liked reciting
287 poetry and had an inexhaustible supply that he would quote at the drop
288 of a hat. Everybody seemed to like him but I felt uneasy with his
289 flamboyance. Perhaps because of it, he had his face on the cover of *Time*
290 magazine as one of the 10 best known men in America – not one of the
291 10 best known psychiatrists. When he wanted to reduce his private
292 practice because it was getting out of hand, he doubled his prices overnight
293 to \$1000 a throw. His private practice increased substantially when he did

294 that. It was quite incredible. I owe a lot to Nate Kline. I owe about 10
295 Caribbean holidays to him!

296 *Tell me about that. That was the Denghausen Group . . .*

297 Yes, Mrs Denghausen was a depressed upstate New York millionairess and
298 Nate Kline was her psychiatrist. I think, from memory, he had her on
299 tryptophan and it seemed to work for her. One day, when she was slightly
300 less depressed, Mrs Denghausen said to Nate 'What can I do for medical
301 science' and Nate told her that doctors need to meet with other doctors
302 without being worried about leaving their wives. So for fifteen years, she
303 funded this meeting and 12 or 15 international chaps, of Nate's choice,
304 plus their wives, met on the beach, on a different Caribbean island every
305 year. It wasn't a joke. It was a proper meeting. We started at 8.30 am and
306 carried on until 1, when drinks were brought out on a tray.

307 There was just a blackboard on the beach under the palm trees. We all
308 took turns to make our presentations, interrupted all the way. We couldn't
309 get away with a loose sentence or phrase – a pretty high calibre bunch. I
310 set up a lot of research collaborations through this Denghausen meeting
311 and got lots of ideas. I came in five years after it all began. Arvid Carlsson
312 joined the year after me. I remember Bernie Wagner, a pathologist, and
313 Biff Bunney there. Sol Synder was asked but he never turned up. Jules
314 Angst was there – a bit like Eugene Onegin. Linford Rees and Alec
315 Coppen had been there from the start.

316 *Tell me how did the BAP come about?*

317 The BAP came about almost casually. We were all lying by the side of a
318 swimming pool in Palm Springs, where that year's ACNP meeting was
319 being held, when I remember David Wheatley saying to Alec Coppen
320 and me what a wonderful thing it would be to have a British College of
321 Psychopharmacology. David Wheatley was the guiding spirit. He liked to
322 go abroad; he loved the sun and foreign beaches and was captivated by
323 the ACNP, which always met in exotic places. So was I. That was in
324 1971 or 72. We thought about tactics and how to organize things and
325 the name of Max Hamilton cropped up. I don't know who spoke to him.
326 Max certainly wasn't there at the meeting. I don't even know whether Max
327 was allowed into America at that time.

328 *Why?*

329 Max had been a communist party member, though he resigned after
330 Hungary. All his organizational strength derived from his party training
331 so for many years he could not go to America. With Max it was policy
332 rather than personality. He learnt this directly from his party days.
333 Although Max could be an abrasive fellow, it was probably because of his
334 political colouring that he was unpopular with the British psychiatric
335 establishment and never made it on the London scene. In the opinion

336 of many, Max should have been the successor of Aubrey Lewis at the
337 Maudsley.

338 *Who else was involved in the start?*

339 Anthony Holden, Ronnie Maggs, Philip Connell who did such a model
340 investigation of amphetamine toxicity. Everyone thought before that time
341 that amphetamine didn't really do you any harm until Connell published
342 his monograph. Really a fine piece of work.

343 *You said Max was the person that pulled it all together.*

344 I say that David Wheatley was the driving force. He had the intelligence
345 to know he had to have a front man. David was only a general practitioner
346 – and you know how hierarchical we tend to be in Britain. A very
347 successful general practitioner. He was well in with the drug companies
348 because he used to mount very successful clinical trials for them. He was
349 slightly flamboyant but very capable. To my mind he was the driving
350 force. He got his people into place and must either directly or indirectly
351 have spoken to Max. He later did a magnificent job as BAP secretary.

352 *I wanted to talk to you about the great schism in the BAP.*

353 I was on the very first council. A lot of bitterness emerged and the
354 situation became polarized between the non-medics and the medics. The
355 non-medics – as now – thought of themselves as pure, good scientists
356 who don't get besmirched by drug company handouts or anything like
357 that. To some extent the tension is still there in the background and is
358 always liable to re-emerge.

359 Max brought us all together with his cunning ploy as I said before, of
360 policies before personalities and he was right, I suppose. He'd had a vast
361 experience at manipulating chaps in the party. He talked it through with
362 us at great length and somehow he did weld us all together. But it was a
363 pretty close thing. We had extraordinary general meeting after extra-
364 ordinary general meeting, well two or three, and they were dismal. The
365 West Hall of the RSM, long before the RSM had been upgraded to its
366 present splendour, was a shabby place, especially on a Saturday morning.
367 I seem to remember the lights weren't on, for some reason.

368 I seem to remember, too, that Philip Bradley led the revolt, ably assisted
369 by Ian Stolerman and to some extent Malcolm Lader. After the armistice,
370 the second president, by agreement, was Alec Coppen. And then for the
371 only time in the Association's history, there was a fight for the third
372 Presidency between Philip Bradley and me and I lost. After that bitter
373 lesson, we agreed the Presidency should be decided by tacit collusion
374 between past presidents.

375 One of the other things that happened was that 'Academy' or 'College'
376 were thought to be bad names and so we became an Association for
377 Psychopharmacology.

1 390 The Psychopharmacologists

378 *Was the election bitter?*

379 Well, perhaps I remember it being bitter because I lost, I don't know.
380 Anyway Philip Bradley and I are good friends and I duly became the
381 President after him.

382 *David Wheatley never featured prominently in office, was that because he would*
383 *have been seen by the non-clinical people as the kind of person who was too*
384 *associated with the industry?*

385 Well, people are very status conscious and would rather see a professor as
386 president than a GP. But David was secretary at a crucial period and did
387 a magnificent job. In my opinion our symbiotic relationship with the
388 pharmaceutical industry has enriched us and has never got out of hand.

389 *There are virtually no general practitioners in the BAP now*

390 David was special. He was a member of the Royal Medico-Psychological
391 Association before it turned into the College. So he automatically became
392 a member of the College.

393 *He says you were the person who brought the BAP together. It actually began*
394 *poorly, as you've said, and it took some putting together, a taking by the scruff of*
395 *its neck and he points to you as the person responsible.*

396 Well, that's extremely kind. I did work hard at it. It was a bit of a ragged
397 nest after the fighting and there were still a lot of ruffled feathers and
398 sourness. I myself started off in the opposite camp to Philip Bradley and it
399 took time to feel as we do today about each other. I still pull his leg,
400 about Birmingham mostly, which isn't my favourite place in the world.

401 *What did you actually do to sort things out?*

402 I don't know what I did. I suppose that a touch of enthusiasm and talking
403 to people on a one-to-one basis helped. A sense of humour. I think I
404 tried to stop people being so bloody pompous and intense.

405 *There was a period during the 1980s when the BAP was a fun group between*
406 *yourself and Sid Levine*

407 Yes. Sid is marvellous, isn't he. I think that's important. I think it's been
408 good for the membership. I hope we don't become too serious ever.

409 *One of the other ways things could have gone, of course, would have been if Philip*
410 *had organized a branch of the CINP here in the UK. If he had, would we have*
411 *ever had a BAP?*

412 No, there wouldn't have been a BAP. Many people say we made the
413 wrong decision anyway, to start off with the BAP. We should have started
414 a Biological Psychiatry Society. The conceptual focus on drugs to the
415 exclusion of biological psychiatry in general was really a bit of a misnomer

416 for a society that in some respects has really been a Biological Psychiatry
417 Society. There are still people now who would prefer a Biological Psy-
418 chiatry Society.

419 *What about the 1984 meeting and the fuss over the St Pierre Park Hotel?*
420 *There has been this issue with all psychopharmacological organizations that if they*
421 *go down the large conference centre route, they become just a club for clinicians.*

422 Oh, that's something that really worries me quite a lot as a matter of fact,
423 the hold of the drug companies on academic psychiatry. We all know
424 about free lunches.

425 *Do you want to talk about that?.*

426 No, not very much, because I too have many mouths to feed, alas.
427 Without the drug companies we would not be able to conduct our
428 research. Until the last phase of the Thatcher period, I was usually success-
429 ful in taking money from drug companies without strings, but you can't
430 always do that. You've got to produce the stuff they want sometimes,
431 especially if you want larger sums. It's a great bind. I'm perfectly aware
432 of the ethical arguments but what alternative is there? The universities are
433 bankrupt. The MRC is broke and the Wellcome people are peremptory
434 and idiosyncratic, or at least they were with the old regime.

435 *If you think of a group like the BAP, there are at least six different groups in it*
436 *– a clinical group, a psychology group picking up the kind of work that someone*
437 *like Hannah Steinberg was doing back in the 1950s with healthy volunteer work,*
438 *the industry and the basic scientists, particularly the animal people. Then there's*
439 *been your area, chemical pathology, and the chemists, the people who have the*
440 *time and imagination to be able to see receptors and what drugs will bind to them.*
441 *Any thoughts on which groups have been most influential?*

442 No, no because they've all blended very well. It's remarkable really that
443 it has worked. Our industry representatives have been selfeffacing and
444 discrete to a man. The Americans, the ACNP, were also well aware of
445 the problem but they had the good idea of making the industry pay
446 through the nose for corporate membership. It's good. The CINP, of
447 course, has been heavily infiltrated by trade and commerce which is sad.
448 Of course you can't have a meeting for 5000 souls without someone
449 actually paying for it.

450 *What about blind alleys? The field has tended to be dominated by people who*
451 *sell ideas well – Schildkraut and the amine hypothesis for instance. To some extent*
452 *the way it came out and the impact it had, stultified things, I think. Take your*
453 *work for instance. My impression is that what you were doing during the 1970s*
454 *increasingly became orthogonal to the mainstream and it seems to me that was*
455 *because the mainstream suddenly didn't seem to be going anywhere any more. It*

456 *seemed to me anyway that if there was going to be any development it would have*
457 *to come from without.*

458 In my long research career the only lesson I've learnt is not to get too
459 fixated on ideas. It's very easy to start thinking about ideas as something
460 of your own and you try to cling to them then and not see the bad spots.
461 Come to think of it, I've learned one other lesson: a rat is not a man! If
462 you really want to know about man, you can get some pointers from the
463 animal world but only pointers. Yes, I think we have had many blind
464 alleys because strong personalities hold ideas too long. The famous Spanish
465 histopathologist, Ramón y Cajal, once said, 'I wish to warn young men
466 against the invincible attraction of theories which simplify and unify
467 seductively'.

468 *In terms of this field, can you pick out people and ideas which you think have*
469 *been counter-productive?*

470 Yes. Starting with Henry Dale and his oneocellooneotransmitter hypo-
471 thesis, that held everything back for ages. And then there's Freud and the
472 whole psychoanalytic movement, which is still hanging around.

473 *What about the amine theory. Do you think I'm being a bit harsh on the amine*
474 *theory?*

475 No. Obviously the monoamines have a role but . . . the thing is you've
476 got to be humble and you've got to realize just how little information
477 there is. There is a jigsaw puzzle but with only two or three pieces of the
478 whole picture in place. You can turn them around one way and you get
479 one picture and if you turn them another way you get another. It's easy
480 to link this to that if you have a vivid imagination. But it may not be
481 true.

482 *The industry has a role in imposing orthodoxy, hasn't it? It prefers to produce*
483 *drugs for example where it knows what exactly they are going to do rather than*
484 *produce something dramatically innovative.*

485 Yes, industry is conservative. Of course, meotoo drugs are the safest
486 financially. I think most people within the industry understand this and
487 that the way forward lies via getting a new drug quickly into man, then
488 watching like a hawk for unexpected side effects as pointers for new types
489 of drug action. Because one man's sideeffect is another man's new drug
490 action.

491 *Who else has been of importance?*

492 Another important chap who never got into this clinical area at all, who
493 is still alive and well, a neurochemist to whom we all owe a great debt to
494 was Derek Richter. A shy retiring man but very talented. One of the
495 very early neurochemists.

496 *Why do you say you owe a lot to him?*

497 I was talking both on a personal level, because I have been a friend of his
498 for some years, and on a biochemical level because he was one of the
499 original workers on monoamine oxidase in the 1930s with Blaschko who
500 died only very recently. And then there was Judah Hirsch Quastel who had
501 a major impact in the early days of neurochemistry. Quastel worked on
502 many fundamental aspects of neurochemistry and biochemistry, on the
503 conjugation of benzoic acid, for example. Things that are now taken for
504 granted. And the same with Richter.

505 *You've been a very public figure in psychopharmacology. Any particular reason?*

506 The reason I suppose I've been involved in so many meetings, national
507 and international, probably stems from the scientific isolation that I've
508 lived in all the years at Queen Charlotte's and this isolation, in a way, has
509 been beneficial because it means that I have had to look elsewhere for
510 intellectual stimulation in a way that I wouldn't have had to do had I
511 worked in Cambridge or Oxford or wherever.

512 My great regret is that the fax wasn't invented earlier but even so, I
513 have been a pretty inveterate traveller and have made a lot of telephone
514 calls. All this activity has resulted in many international friendships, par-
515 ticularly in the United States, I suppose. So I think of myself not neces-
516 sarily as a scientific citizen of Hammersmith but just as a scientific citizen.
517 I still talk science into the middle of the night with the same excitement
518 wherever I am wafted by the scientific winds.

519 Of course, I'm terribly grateful to Queen Charlotte's. I've been a
520 neurochemical cuckoo in their nest but they've been extremely kind to
521 me over the years.

522 *Why did you end up there?*

523 Oh, expediency and opportunism, my dear boy, purely that. I was a
524 lecturer in chemical pathology at the Royal Free Hospital. I had already
525 started on my monoamine way in those days, of course, but my chief said
526 'well, there's a consultant job going at the Queen Charlotte's, you won't
527 get it, you're too young, but show them that you think yourself up there
528 with the toffs' and so I got my application together. Ridiculously, I got
529 the job, although at the interview, I did everything to wriggle out of
530 getting it. They asked 'are you interested in obstetrics', and I said 'not
531 really'. When they asked 'What are you interested in?'. I said 'I'm
532 interested in monoamines', but I also said 'I just follow them wherever
533 they go and if they go down into the uterus, then I will follow them into
534 the uterus'. They took me at my word.

535 In fact, I came up with a monoamine hypothesis of toxæmia almost
536 immediately and I don't completely disbelieve that even today. It's just
537 that more exciting things cropped up soon after I got to Charlotte's.

538 Although we found a deficit of monoamine oxidase in human placenta
 539 in toxemia even in those days, it may well just be secondary to fibrotic
 540 changes in a toxemic placenta – I don't know. We never really pursued
 541 it further, I'm sorry to say. Anyway the papers came tumbling forth – our
 542 department produced twice as many as the whole hospital put together –
 543 perhaps more.

544 *At the Cambridge meeting on the History of Psychopharmacology you threw out*
 545 *some very provocative comments about the MAOIs, that some of them are MAOIs*
 546 *but aren't actually antidepressants and there are related compounds that may be*
 547 *antidepressants and aren't MAOIs – do you think MAO inhibition is necessary*
 548 *to their antidepressant action?*

549 You are right, of course. I suppose I have never accepted revealed truth
 550 in anything and just because a compound has been dubbed a monoamine
 551 oxidase inhibitor and because it does have monoamine oxidase-inhibiting
 552 properties, it doesn't necessarily mean that it works because of that
 553 monoamine oxidase-inhibiting ability. Take deprenyl, for instance, the
 554 monoamine oxidase B inhibitor now used extensively in the treatment of
 555 Parkinson's disease because of its possible neuroprotective properties. Well
 556 I'm quite convinced that its neuroprotective ability doesn't derive from
 557 MAO B inhibition. Other selective MAO B inhibitors don't seem to
 558 possess this particular action. The point is that every individual drug has
 559 multiple properties and abilities, despite its official classification. We have
 560 shown with deprenyl, for instance, that there's a significant increase in
 561 superoxide dismutase activity in patients or rats treated with it. I can put
 562 up a good case for superoxide dismutase being neuroprotective.

563 But that's another story. I have argued over the years that the beneficial
 564 effects of the monoamine oxidase inhibiting drugs in depressive illness
 565 may not depend completely on their ability to inhibit MAO A. Their
 566 effect on this enzyme is maximal within hours but lightening of affect
 567 may not be observed before several weeks have elapsed, so there's some-
 568 thing else there.

569 *What about the tyramine conjugation deficits that can be found in people who are*
 570 *depressed.*

571 That's very interesting and the finding has held up well, although we still
 572 don't know the mechanism. It's not for the want of trying, I have to tell
 573 you. I can give you a long list of things that it isn't. It isn't a deficit of
 574 phenolsulphotransferase. As a matter of fact it's very interesting that we
 575 stumbled on that because it led us to some really hard science. We were
 576 able to show for the first time that the human phenolsulphotransferase
 577 enzyme had multiple forms – PSTM, M standing for monoamines and
 578 PSTP, for which dilute phenol was the first substrate we identified. We
 579 found that the two forms had different substrate specificities, different

580 inhibitor specificities, different pH optima; just like monoamine oxidase
581 A and B.

582 *Do you think it was the lack of a Bethesda-type PR campaign that prevented*
583 *the tyramine test being more widely known? It has always hit me that it was a*
584 *very clear piece of work – one of the few biological findings we have and nothing*
585 *has been made of it.*

586 Well, it's quite difficult to do. Even the most intelligent patients – even
587 doctors – have difficulty collecting accurately timed 3-hour urine samples.
588 You have to be supervised and there's no way round this – otherwise
589 mistakes are made. That's probably the main problem. Other people have
590 confirmed it of course – Donald Klein and his group, for instance, and
591 others, but people still sort of sniff a little bit, don't they?

592 *Why? Is it just a matter of timing? The idea of a DST test in a sense was there*
593 *before Barney Carroll but somehow it clicked into place at that time and bingo*
594 *there's this industry that grew up out of it.*

595 And it doesn't mean a thing that test, yet it still persists; ah well, never
596 mind.

597 *Do you think it comes back partly to the idea that there was a US sales component?*

598 Yes, I think so. Really the new generation of psychopharmacologists have
599 to learn to be their own mouthpiece, their own trumpeter, their own PR
600 man. I think this is important. We tend to be diffident and hide our light
601 under a bushel over here.

602 *Another area you've been involved in, indeed led the field in, but which hasn't*
603 *received as much outside attention as it perhaps deserves, has been in trace amines.*
604 *Can you tell me something about trace amines?*

605 Alan Boulton, in Canada, and I myself, on this side of the Atlantic, have
606 both been a bit obsessed, over the years, with all the monoamine substrates
607 of monoamine oxidase that weren't catecholamines or 5-hydroxytryptam-
608 ine – I mean the tyramines and octopamines, phenylethylamine, phenyle-
609 thanolamine, tryptamine, etc. They are all present in the brain in low
610 concentrations but that's only because they haven't got specific storage
611 mechanisms. The turnover of octopamine, for example, seems to be just
612 as large as that of noradrenaline. Both our teams have identified a number
613 of changes in these systems in different types of mental disorder but we've
614 only scratched the surface. There's plenty of room for further study. Alan
615 wanted to call them 'microamines' but Earl Usdin and I thought that
616 inappropriate and put forward the name 'trace amines' which stuck.

617 *One of the other areas you've been involved in the last 10 years that I've always*
618 *thought looked awfully interesting and I've been surprised it hasn't had the impact*
619 *that I thought it would have, is the whole story from tribulin to isatin.*

620 Well, tribulin is a bit of a tangled skein. You see although we have
621 identified the main MAO B inhibiting component in tribulin, there now
622 seems to be at least one major MAO A inhibiting component too. We've
623 recently found, in addition, that isatin, the MAO B inhibiting component,
624 has a strong action on a quite unrelated receptor system in the body and
625 we're trying to get to grips with the implications of this too.

626 Another complication with isatin is that it's generated endogenously in
627 the brain but it is also produced in large amounts by the gut flora. Our
628 crucial experiment was in germ-free rats, which excreted relatively small
629 amounts in their urine compared with conventional controls. I've been
630 interested in gut flora all my life. They're terribly under-rated things, gut
631 flora. If you ever have any bizarre or anomalous effects or unlikely com-
632 pounds in the urine you should immediately think of drugs or gut flora.
633 My colleagues in the lab smiled when I said we've just got to do these
634 experiments with isatin in germ-free animals but then these beautifully
635 clean data emerged. It's a very expensive business – breeding germ-free
636 animals – but it's difficult to approach certain problems in any other way.

637 So, we've been involved with that but one thing that I would have
638 liked to have spent much more time on, because I think it could have been
639 so desperately important in our overcrowded society is aggression. You
640 probably don't remember that we did a study which got a lot of media
641 coverage on prisoners from Wormwood Scrubs in 1977 where we found
642 an increased production of phenylethylamine or, rather, of its major
643 metabolites, in aggressive psychopaths. Now, most of the phenylacetylglut-
644 amine and phenylacetic acid in the urine, in fact, comes from gut flora
645 so we don't know, even now, that these patients had an overproduction
646 of phenylethylamine as such. If you block phenylethylamine degradation
647 with a monoamine oxidase B inhibitor like deprenyl, you get a very
648 substantial increase in urine output of phenylethylamine, from 2, 3, 4,
649 5 μg per 24 h – it's as low as that you see – to something like 80 or 100 μg
650 per 24 h. A very respectable increase but not when you consider the
651 amounts of the major metabolites, phenylacetic acid and phenylacetylglut-
652 amine, in the urine – something of the order of 100 mg per 24 h. So,
653 this is another aspect of the gut flora-ness of things and it just makes life
654 that much more difficult.

655 So whether our Wormwood Scrubs multiple murderers with large
656 amounts of these metabolites in their circulation really did produce
657 larger amounts of nature's amphetamine, phenylethylamine, is still an open
658 question . . .

659 *They weren't on MAO B inhibitors.*

660 No, we didn't get a chance to put them on MAO B inhibitors. We
661 speculated and proved that it was true, that the aggressive alpha male in a
662 monkey pack, the pack leader, had a high circulating phenylethylamine
663 metabolite level. We still don't know what it means, but we had a nice

664 trip to St Kitts in the Caribbean to perform the experiment. I'd be terribly
665 interested to pursue it. It may just be that they get more constipated –
666 the aggressive ones for all I know.

667 Anyway, there is a phenomenon here that needs further investigation.
668 We would have needed the full collaboration of the prison services at the
669 time but they were very difficult. Did I ever tell you about this? The very
670 first paper on the aggressive psychopaths from Wormwood Scrubs came
671 about by accident. I had to go to a very boring dinner at the Royal
672 College of Physicians and I got stuck at the end of a table. The chap
673 opposite me hadn't turned up so I only had the chap sitting next to me
674 to talk to. I asked him what he did and he said he was a psychiatrist at
675 Wormwood Scrubs. So I plucked from the air a fact I'd read that 80% of
676 the murderers in North Carolina had been taking amphetamine at the
677 time they committed their murder.

678 *Really.*

679 Yes, well in fact it was very obvious in retrospect but I had never heard
680 of amphetamine psychosis at that time. They must have been amphetamine
681 junkies who flipped and became paranoid amphetamine schizophrenics.
682 Anyway the Wormwood Scrubs psychiatrist started to get interested and
683 I remembered that we had a test for phenylacetic acid and that phenethyla-
684 mine is closely related to amphetamine. If you block phenylethylamine
685 degradation in a rat – if you give them a monoamine oxidase inhibitor
686 and administer phenylethylamine – you will get a typical amphetamine-
687 like response.

688 So I started to generate a hypothesis, you know, as one does after the
689 second drink and he said 'well, perhaps we should test this' and I said 'I'd
690 love to, and can you get some blood'. So he got a dozen of these bloods
691 from a selection of homicidal maniacs and a dozen samples from meek
692 and mild controls and, lo and behold, we had a paper. We sent it off to
693 the *Lancet*. My collaborator promptly got into trouble from the prison
694 service because he hadn't had proper permission to do it. They were
695 scared stiff because experiments on convicts are tricky things.

696 When I tried to go back to Wormwood Scrubs, the doors were closed.
697 I couldn't get any more samples. Anyway, three or four months passed. I
698 happened to find myself at a dinner party and there was a very brash but
699 personable young man sitting opposite. I was reciting the story and the
700 frustrations of science and all that and this young man, whom I vaguely
701 recognized, said 'well, I might be able to help'. I said 'do you have any
702 useful contacts' and he said he was in the Cabinet and the Home Secretary
703 was his friend – it was Norman Fowler, looking terribly young, like a
704 school boy, in 1977. And he said 'send me the documents of the case,
705 send me everything you've got, and I'll promise to have a word with
706 Willie Whitelaw'. He was quite fired by all this, really.

707 Anyway, nothing happened for another three or four months and I

708 despaired. Then all of a sudden, a phone call came and he said, 'It's
709 Norman Fowler – you're all right, just apply'. So we got another set of
710 samples with the same result but then our psychiatrist retired and it all
711 got difficult so we went to the monkeys in the Caribbean instead, hoping
712 some time to get back to Scrubs but we never did. But aggression, it's
713 such an important thing isn't it and with these so-called anti-aggressive
714 drugs being developed, I don't know if I believe in them or not. The
715 serenics and all that. Terribly interesting.

716 *Eltoprazine?*

717 Yes, I've been interested since it started and I know quite a bit about it.
718 Eltoprazine may be serenic; at least in a peculiar rat model, the rat maternal
719 aggression model, it's very good. It also has one other unfortunate action,
720 however. It not only blocks aggression but it blocks the sex drive too.
721 And I think Barry Everitt will tell you that these two drives seem to be
722 very closely related. I always remember Barry giving a brilliant paper at
723 a Sardinian meeting when he was trying to disentangle them by producing
724 suitable brain lesions. He never could do so though. I can't remember
725 the details of his experiment except I think this was my first very positive
726 impression of Barry Everitt. A beautiful experimentalist.

727 Oh yes, aggression is so important. I'm sure if I'd have been able to
728 take the blood of Adolf Hitler or James Jones in Guyana or what was this
729 nutter in Texas called, David Koresh, they would all have had high
730 circulating levels of phenylacetic acid. In terms of aggression, Robert
731 Maxwell, for example, might well have been an example of this.
732 Robert Maxwell was such a charismatic man. As I told you I edited the
733 *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, a Pergamon journal, for 10 years. This meant
734 meeting Robert Maxwell. Well I had first met him in 1973 . . .
735 I liked him tremendously.

736 *This was the problem, wasn't it?*

737 Tremendously likeable man. I first met him in 1973, at night time,
738 in Strasbourg Castle. I think it was the third or fourth Catecholamine
739 Symposium. It was a very Shakespearean scene in the courtyard, with
740 torches blazing, a crowd of extras milling around. Somebody took me
741 and half a dozen others along to introduce me to this great big chap. Of
742 course, I remembered our meeting clearly because he was famous or
743 notorious but it never crossed my mind that he could possibly remember
744 me.

745 Well, in 1982, having taken over the editorship of one of his journals,
746 I found myself invited to one of his annual jamborees in June at Heading-
747 ton Hill Hall. They were great affairs with brass bands and drum majorettes
748 and he used to arrive in his helicopter. Well, there he was sitting outside
749 his tent literally, with nobody whispering in his ear and I went up to
750 make his acquaintance and said 'You won't remember me' and he said 'I

751 do – you're Merton Sandler, you've just taken over the *Journal of Psychiatric*
752 *Research* and I remember meeting you in Strasbourg in 1973'. I was
753 impressed by that.

754 *Hearing you go through the range of things you've done, is how little chemical*
755 *pathology I know or was trained in and how much risk, I think, the rest of us*
756 *nonpathologists run, as a result, of re-inventing the wheel. I'm sure that in five*
757 *years time people will trumpet things they wouldn't be trumpeting if they knew*
758 *about gut flora. But it's not a fashionable area at the moment is it?*

759 No, it's not a fashionable area. I think one has to have a lot of luck in
760 science and suddenly some big finding will come. A lot of luck. You're
761 right, who has heard of what I do except for a few specialists in the area
762 really. I suppose we were the first with the multiple forms of monoamine
763 oxidase and that sort of thing. But I'm not a popular folk hero like Marie
764 Curie or Brian Leonard. I don't think cults of personality have much to
765 do with science, though.

766 *What about your interest in migraine?*

767 Ah, yes . . . migraine has played an important part in my professional
768 research life, purely by accident as most of these things are. It happened
769 by chance – I got a phone call one day from a lady called Edda Hanington
770 who was Assistant Scientific Director of the Wellcome Trust and she was
771 passionately interested in migraine. Now it had been known for centuries
772 that certain foods can initiate migraine attacks in certain susceptible
773 people. She pointed out, in a seminal paper, that cheese was one of these
774 triggering substances and suggested it did so by virtue of the tyramine it
775 contains.

776 She was wrong, of course, about tyramine. It doesn't seem to initiate
777 a headache attack, according to work that was subsequently done over
778 the years. However, my mind is not completely closed. When my col-
779 league Richard Peatfield gave tyramine intravenously to certain migraine-
780 urs, some of them did get a headache.

781 But it was an important question in 1967 and even though Hanington
782 is likely to have been wrong, a lot of people took up the baton and
783 became enthusiastic about the whole chemical background of migraine.
784 As Popper has said, 'Fertility is the result not of exactness but of seeing
785 new problems where none have been seen before, and of finding new
786 ways of solving them'. One of the most important things about Hanington
787 was that she worked for the Wellcome Trust, so when she got on the
788 phone to me and said biochemically speaking 'Help help', it was important
789 because there was money to prime the pumps. So that's how I got into
790 the biochemistry of migraine.

791 And, in fact, following this lead, we were the first to find that there's
792 a platelet monoamine oxidase deficit in migraine. Two kinds of platelet
793 deficit, as a matter of fact. During the acute attack there's a transitory defi-

794 cit but about 25% of males have a permanent decrease in platelet enzyme
 795 activity. There's been intense activity once more in the past year or two
 796 in this area, spearheaded by us but also by Kathleen Merikangas in Yale
 797 and Naomi Breslau in Detroit because it turns out that there is a substantial
 798 increase in psychiatric morbidity in migraine and up to about 20% of
 799 patients have a major depressive illness at some time in their lives. This
 800 has now been put on a quantitative basis and studies of the genetics of this
 801 phenomenon are proceeding with full molecular biological cooperation –
 802 maybe some answers will now emerge.

803 *Do you not think the whole fuss these days about the 5-HT is something of a*
 804 *5-HT bubble?*

805 Of course, my dear boy. 5-HT is important, I've never said that it isn't.
 806 I've earned my bread and butter from 5-HT over the years and I wouldn't
 807 really knock it. Even so, 5-HT is all right in its place but there are many
 808 other things that the seven groups – so far – of 5-HT receptors connect
 809 to. What has amused me over the years is the sheep phenomenon in
 810 science. Everybody follows my leader in science. Some American pub-
 811 lishes a new paper and it gets the full Bethesda PR treatment and all
 812 round the world, the little chaps in their journeyman laboratories follow
 813 and write their safe papers and of course the safe papers are accepted. It's
 814 the papers that change science that we all have difficulty in placing. Most
 815 science, unfortunately, is safe science.

816 You have to have safe science to get a grant, alas. I really mean that. If
 817 you want to get a grant, then you must not stray too far from what the
 818 last man has produced. I don't blame the referees when they've had no
 819 experience with a new concept – or rather, I don't know if I do or not!
 820 If you haven't got enough knowledge of an area and if you're spending
 821 public money then to be safe, you turn the project down. Where Leonardo
 822 would get his money from if he were alive today rather worries me. That's
 823 a big problem David.

824 **Select bibliography**

825 Sandler, M. and Healy, D. (1984) The place of chemical pathology in psychophar-
 826 macology. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, **8**, 124–33.